### SOUTH CAROLINA DEPARTMENT OF NATURAL RESOURCES

# COLUMBIA, SOUTH CAROLINA

STATE AUDITOR'S REPORT

**JUNE 30, 2002** 

#### State of South Carolina



### Office of the State Auditor

1401 MAIN STREET, SUITE 1200 COLUMBIA, S.C. 29201

THOMAS L. WAGNER, JR., CPA STATE AUDITOR

(803) 253-4160 FAX (803) 343-0723

# INDEPENDENT ACCOUNTANT'S REPORT ON APPLYING AGREED-UPON PROCEDURES

July 2, 2003

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Natural Resources Board South Carolina Department of Natural Resources Columbia, South Carolina

We have performed the procedures described below, which were agreed to by the governing body and management of the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources solely to assist you in evaluating the performance of the Department for the fiscal year ended June 30, 2002, in the areas addressed. This engagement to apply agreed-upon procedures was performed in accordance with standards established by the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants. The sufficiency of the procedures is solely the responsibility of the specified users of the report. Consequently, we make no representation regarding the sufficiency of the procedures described below either for the purpose for which this report has been requested or for any other purpose. The procedures and the associated findings are as follows:

1. We tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were properly described and classified in the accounting records and internal controls over the tested receipt transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded receipts to determine if these receipts were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in the State's accounting system (STARS) as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if recorded revenues were in agreement. We made inquiries and performed substantive procedures to determine if revenue collection and retention or remittance were supported by law. We compared current year recorded revenues from sources other than State General Fund appropriations to those of the prior year and, we used estimations and other procedures to determine the reasonableness of collected and recorded amounts by revenue account. We also tested the accountability and security over permits, licenses, and other documents issued for money. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Natural Resources Board South Carolina Department of Natural Resources July 2, 2003

- We tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were properly described and classified in the accounting records, were bona fide disbursements of the Department and were paid in conformity with State laws and regulations and if internal controls over the tested disbursement transactions were adequate. We also tested selected recorded non-payroll disbursements to determine if these disbursements were recorded in the proper fiscal year. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded expenditures were in agreement. We compared current year expenditures to those of the prior year to determine the reasonableness of amounts paid and recorded by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 3. We tested selected recorded payroll disbursements to determine if the tested payroll transactions were properly described, classified, and distributed in the accounting records; persons on the payroll were bona fide employees; payroll transactions, including employee payroll deductions, were properly authorized and were in accordance with existing legal requirements; and internal controls over the tested payroll transactions were adequate. We tested selected payroll vouchers to determine if the vouchers were properly approved and if the gross payroll agreed to amounts recorded in the general ledger and in STARS. We also tested payroll transactions for selected new employees and those who terminated employment to determine if internal controls over these transactions were adequate. We compared amounts recorded in the general ledger and subsidiary ledgers to those in various STARS reports to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were in agreement. We performed other procedures such as comparing current year recorded payroll expenditures to those of the prior year; comparing the percentage change in recorded personal service expenditures to the percentage change in employer contributions; and computing the percentage distribution of recorded fringe benefit expenditures by fund source and comparing the computed distribution to the actual distribution of recorded payroll expenditures by fund source to determine if recorded payroll and fringe benefit expenditures were reasonable by expenditure account. The individual transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 4. We tested selected recorded journal entries and operating transfers between subfunds, and all appropriation transfers to determine if these transactions were properly described and classified in the accounting records; they agreed with the supporting documentation, were adequately documented and explained, were properly approved, and were mathematically correct; and the internal controls over these transactions were adequate. The journal entries and operating transfers between subfunds selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 5. We tested selected entries and monthly totals in the subsidiary records of the Department to determine if the amounts were mathematically accurate; the numerical sequences of selected document series were complete; the selected monthly totals were accurately posted to the general ledger; and the internal controls over the tested transactions were adequate. The transactions selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

The Honorable Mark Sanford, Governor and Members of the Natural Resources Board South Carolina Department of Natural Resources July 2, 2003

- 6. We obtained all monthly reconciliation's prepared by the Department for the year ended June 30, 2002, and tested selected reconciliation's of balances in the Department's accounting records to those in STARS as reflected on the Comptroller General's reports to determine if they were accurate and complete. For the selected reconciliation's, we recalculated the amounts, agreed the applicable amounts to the Department's general ledger, agreed the applicable amounts to the STARS reports, determined if reconciling differences were adequately explained and properly resolved, and determined if necessary adjusting entries were made in the Department's accounting records and/or in STARS. The reconciliation's selected for testing were chosen randomly. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 7. We tested the Department's compliance with all applicable financial provisions of the South Carolina Code of Laws, Appropriation Act, and other laws, rules, and regulations for fiscal year 2002. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 8. We obtained copies of all closing packages as of and for the year ended June 30, 2002, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Comptroller General. We reviewed them to determine if they were prepared in accordance with the Comptroller General's <u>GAAP Closing Procedures Manual</u> requirements; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.
- 9. We obtained a copy of the schedule of federal financial assistance for the year ended June 30, 2002, prepared by the Department and submitted to the State Auditor. We reviewed it to determine if it was prepared in accordance with the State Auditor's letter of instructions; if the amounts were reasonable; and if they agreed with the supporting workpapers and accounting records. We found no exceptions as a result of the procedures.

We were not engaged to, and did not, perform an audit, the objective of which would be the expression of an opinion on the specified areas, accounts, or items. Further, we were not engaged to express an opinion on the effectiveness of the internal control over financial reporting. Accordingly, we do not express such opinions. Had we performed additional procedures or had we conducted an audit or review of the Department's financial statements or any part thereof, other matters might have come to our attention that would have been reported to you.

This report is intended solely for the information and use of the Governor and of the governing body and management of the South Carolina Department of Natural Resources and is not intended to be and should not be used by anyone other than these specified parties.

for Thomas L. Wagner, Jr., CPA

State Auditor

5 copies of this cotal printing costs be	document were to standard to the factor of \$5.85. The fadded to the documents	published at an -Y 2003-04 App cument.	estimated print propriation Act re	ing cost of \$1.17 equires that this i	7 each, and a nformation on
copies of this cotal printing costorinting costs be	document were to the factor of the factor of the factor of the document were document.	published at an -Y 2003-04 App cument.	estimated print propriation Act re	ing cost of \$1.17 equires that this i	7 each, and a nformation on
copies of this cotal printing costorinting costs be	document were to the factorial to the factorial to the factorial to the document were to the factorial to the document were to the factorial t	published at an TY 2003-04 App cument.	n estimated print propriation Act re	ing cost of \$1.17 equires that this i	7 each, and a nformation on
copies of this cotal printing costorinting costs be	document were t of \$5.85. The F added to the doc	published at an TY 2003-04 App cument.	n estimated print propriation Act re	ing cost of \$1.17 equires that this i	7 each, and a nformation on
5 copies of this cotal printing costorinting costs be	document were t of \$5.85. The F added to the doc	published at an TY 2003-04 App cument.	n estimated print propriation Act re	ing cost of \$1.17 equires that this i	7 each, and a nformation on